

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,664	12/16/2003	Vladimir M. Kabakov	2852		
7590 03/11/2005 Vladimir M. Kabakov			EXAMINER		
			BASINGER, SHERMAN D		
Arlington, VA	(ile Run Drive, Apt, 1201 22204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3617		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/ 735, 664	Ļ			
			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
		•		20050307
	÷	-	DATE MAILED	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

- 1. The reply filed on January 21, 2005 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the amendment did not comply with the revised amendment practice effective July 30, 2003 (see below). See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 2. The revised amendment practice effective July 30, 2003 requires each section of an amendment document to being on a separate sheet. Applicant included the claim amendments with the specification amendments. Applicant replaced pages 7 and 21 of the specification. Page 21 of the specification included part of claim 16 and claims 17-20; therefore, this page should have been under the section of the claim amendments.
- 3. A complete listing of all of the claims in the application should have been submitted when claims 16 and 19 were amended. Further, a parenthetical expression for each claim is needed. The seven parenthetical expressions are: original, currently amended, canceled, withdrawn, new, previously presented and new. Each parenthetical expression should follow the claim number and should be in parentheses.
- 4. A clean version of the replacement paragraphs or sections of the specification, or a clean version of the claims amended should not be submitted. Applicant submitted clean versions of the specification page amended and clean versions of the claims amended.
- 5. The response filed February 14, 2005 failed to rectify the above problems.

Sherman D. Basinger Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 3617